



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/773,505	02/06/2004	Peter D. Almen	6006.20US01	6628
7590 09/20/2005			EXAMINER	
Merchant & G	ould P.C.	PECHHOLD, ALEXANDRA K		
P.O. Box 2903				
Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3671	
			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/773,505	ALMEN, PETER D.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alexandra K. Pechho	old 3671			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover she	eet with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, i riod will apply and will expire SIX (i atute, cause the application to become	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed  by MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{0}$	6 February 2004.				
	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal	matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the applic	ation.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,3-7,9,10</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3 and 8</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requiremer	nt.			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)☐ objecte	ed to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the col	rection is required if the dra	awing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the atta	ached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bu					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies	s not received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Inter	view Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date´. ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date		er:			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050906			

Application/Control Number: 10/773,505

Art Unit: 3671

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Patton (US 5,351,634).

Regarding claim 1, Patton discloses an agricultural implement for being pulled by a powered vehicle (seen in Figs. 5-8), comprising:

- a tow bar assembly (seen as rods 90, 92) connected to said powered vehicle;
- a working assembly (seen as machine 20) having a front gang of coulters
   (seen as forward coulters 24) and a rear gang of coulters (seen as rear set of
   couplers 26), and
- a pivoting assembly (seen as turnbuckle 96) connecting said tow bar
  assembly and said working assembly, said pivoting assembly being operable
  to transfer weight of said working assembly between said front gang of
  coulters and said rear gang of coulters (see Col 3, lines 49-64).

Regarding claim 2, Patton discloses that the raising and lowering device can operate by hydraulic action (Col 3, lines 49-56).

Application/Control Number: 10/773,505

Art Unit: 3671

Regarding claim 4, the front gang of coulters and rear gang of coulters (24, 26) comprise blades as seen in the figures.

Regarding claim 5, the front gang of coulters and the rear gang of coulters (24, 26) are substantially parallel to each other, as seen in the figures.

Regarding claim 7, Patton discloses the limitations of the claimed invention as discussed with respect to claims 1 and 2 above. Furthermore, Patton discloses a frame seen in Fig. 2, and the said working assembly inherent has weight. When the cylinder of Patton is extended, more of the weight of said working assembly is supported by said rear gang of coulters (as shown in Fig. 7), and when said hydraulic cylinder is retracted, more of the weight of said working assembly is supported by said front gang of coulters (as shown in Fig. 8).

Regarding claim 9, Patton discloses the limitations of the claimed invention as discussed with respect to claims 1 and 2 above. Furthermore, Patton discloses a frame seen in Fig. 2, and the said working assembly inherent has weight. The frame has a level orientation when it is level relative to said tow bar assembly. When the frame is inclined upward in front relative to said level orientation, more of the weight of said working assembly is supported by said rear gang of coulters (as shown in Fig. 7), and when the frame is inclined downward in front relative to said level orientation, more of the weight of said working assembly is supported by the front gang of coulters (as shown in Fig. 8).

Regarding claim 10, the front gang of coulters and the rear gang of coulters (24, 26) are substantially parallel to each other, as seen in the figures.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,505 Page 4

Art Unit: 3671

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patton (US 5,351,634) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Javerlhac (US 6,499,543). Patton fails to disclose the rear gang blades as positioned midway between the front gang blades. Javerlhac teaches that it is preferable to space apart the disks of various gangs so that any one disk continues to work between the tracks of the two disks of the preceding gang of disks, promoting a well-cultivated state (Col 2, lines 49-60). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the arrangement of the front and rear gang blades of Patton so that the rear gang blades are positioned midway between the front gang blades as taught by Javerlhac, since Javerlhac states how this promotes a well-cultivated state (Col 2, lines 49-60).

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 3 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,505 Page 5

Art Unit: 3671

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexandra Pechhold whose telephone number is (571) 272-6994. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs. from 8:00am to 5:30pm and alternating Fridays from 8:00am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will, can be reached on (571) 272-6998. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Supervisory Patent Examiner

AKP 9/6/05